

#### **ASX** ANNOUNCEMENT

29 November 2023

### Commencement of Infill Soil Program Along 5 km Lithium Soil Anomalies

#### **KEY HIGHLIGHTS**

- Infill soil sampling has commenced on Belararox 100% owned Bullabulling Lithium and Gold project located west of Coolgardie in Western Australia.
- Preliminary geological interpretation has identified a 5 km strike length of highly anomalous
   lithium including results up to 324 ppm lithium (697 ppm Li<sub>2</sub>O).
- Infill soil program has been planned across six lithium anomalies and several gold anomalies with field crews currently sampling.




Figure 1: Lithium (ppm) soil anomaly.

Belararox' Managing Director, Arvind Misra, commented: "I am thrilled to announce our infill soils field program will be completed by the end of this week. This initiative will offer critical insights that will inform our lithium drilling program, which our exploration team is diligently progressing. The promising initial results along a 5 km zone, coupled with the current strong interest in lithium projects in Western Australia, further bolster my optimism about our endeavours."





Belararox Ltd (ASX:BRX) (Belararox or the Company), an advanced mineral explorer focused on high-value clean energy metals is pleased to announce it has commenced a field program to infill lithium soil anomalies at the Company's 100%-owned Bullabulling Lithium/Gold project.

Belararox holds the Bullabulling Project which is comprised of 26 wholly owned tenements covering an area of approx. 50 km<sup>2</sup> to the west of Coolgardie in the Eastern Goldfields of Western Australia. Significant lithium resources and projects in the surrounding area are displayed in **Figure 2**.

#### **NEARBY EXPLORATION SUCCESS**

11.5km to the east of Belararox's eastern tenement boundary, Future Battery Minerals (ASX:FBM) announced it had intercepted 29m @ 1.36% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 38m downhole in a 14-hole Phase 1 reverse circulation (RC) drilling program at its Kangaroo Hill Lithium Project (20 April 2023, FBM ASX announcement 'Exploration Update Kangaroo Hills Lithium Project').

These results, from fractionated pegmatites, are located in close proximity to the Belararox tenements and within a similar geological setting. They are considered analogous to the pegmatites identified across the Bullbulling Project, where elevated lithium values have been identified.




Figure 2: Lithium deposits and projects located within the Coolgardie region.



#### **BULLABULLING LITHIUM AND GOLD PROJECT**

Belararox conducted a soil sampling program in July and August 2023, collecting 1581 samples. This was a follow-up to a mapping and rock chip sampling program that revealed elevated lithium (Li) and rubidium (Rb) values. The soil samples, taken across areas with high potential for lithium and gold mineralisation, revealed several strong lithium and gold anomalies. (Refer to BRX ASX announcement 25 October 2023).

Strong lithium anomalies up to 324 ppm Li were found, associated with elevated caesium (Cs) and tantalum (Ta), confirming LCT pegmatite type targets within favourable mafic metamorphic rocks adjacent to the Bali Monzogranite. Further geological analyses of the initial soil sample results show an anomalous lithium trend that extends across 5 km and consists of 6 significant lithium anomalies.




Figure 3: Lithium (ppm) soil grid – results to date for all tenements.

#### **CURRENT ACTVITIES**

Belararox have commenced infill soil sampling program at the Bullabulling project west of Coolgardie. The program specifically targets the anomalies identified from the July-August 2023 soils. The program spans six targets identified across the 5 km anomalous lithium zone (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Infill sample design plotted across 6 identified lithium targets.

In tandem with the soil program, a geological mapping and rock chip sampling program is being undertaken. The results for both are anticipated by the end of January. Together, the findings will inform the location targets for a drilling program contemplated for early 2024.



Figure 5: Soil sampling program underway

#### **ANOMOLOUS GOLD TARGETS**

Historical exploration activities have identified mafic basalts and amphibolites as the main regional host for gold mineralisation. This includes the nearby Bullabulling goldfield. These geological formations have been associated with historical workings, indicating their potential for gold deposits.

A recently completed soil sampling program has further supported these findings. The program identified several gold anomalies, with assay values reaching up to 87.6 ppb Au. In addition, rock chip sampling of vuggy gossanous quartz material adjacent to an old shaft returned grades of 11.5 ppm Au and 1 ppm Au.

As well as the lithium targets, gold anomalies were also identified in the initial soils program. The soil program will infill these areas with a view to further delineate gold targets which will compliment Belararox' existing gold targets (see BRX ASX announcement 21 July 2022).




Figure 6: Gold target - interpreted from soil assays and plotted with Total Magnetic Intensity.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

The next steps in the development of the project include:

- Initial drill target areas and priorities will be updated with observations from the field program.
- Drilling approvals process will commence to enable a drilling program contemplated for early 2024.
- Regional sampling will be conducted in 2024 to investigate lithium potential to the south of the concession.



#### **AUTHORISATION**

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Belararox.

#### SHAREHOLDER ENQUIRIES

#### **Arvind Misra**

Managing Director
Belararox Limited
arvind.misra@belararox.com.au

#### **MEDIA ENQUIRIES**

#### Julia Maguire

The Capital Network

julia@thecapitalnetwork.com.au

#### **GENERAL ENQUIRIES**

#### **Belararox Limited**

www.belararox.com.au

info@belararox.com.au

#### ABOUT BELARAROX LIMITED (ASX: BRX)

Belararox is a mineral explorer focused on securing and developing resources to meet the surge in demand from the technology, battery and renewable energy markets. Our projects currently include the potential for zinc, copper, gold, silver, nickel and lead resources.

#### **PROJECT**

Belararox has a 100% interest in the 49 km<sup>2</sup> **Bullabulling Project** located in the proven gold-producing Bullabulling goldfield near Coolgardie, Western Australia. The Bullabulling Project surrounds the 3Moz Bullabulling Gold Project and is also considered prospective for LCT pegmatites given its close proximity to the highly fractionated Bali Monzogranite.

#### **FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains forward looking statements concerning the projects owned by Belararox Limited. Statements concerning mining reserves and resources and exploration interpretations may also be deemed to be forward looking statements in that they involve estimates based on specific assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact and actual events, and results may differ materially from those described in the forward looking statements as a result of a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors. Forward looking statements are based on management's beliefs, opinions and estimates as of the dates the forward - looking statements are made and no obligation is assumed to update forward looking statements if these beliefs, opinions and estimates should change or to reflect other future developments.

#### **COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT**

The information in this announcement to which this statement is attached relates to Exploration Results and is based on information compiled by Mr Jason Ward. Mr Ward is a Senior Geologist and a fellow of the Belararox Ltd board of Directors and is a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Ward has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the exploration techniques being used to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Ward consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.



## JORC CODE, 2012 EDITION - TABLE 1

# **Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data**

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| techniques  specific specialised industry standard measurement tools  appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.  Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.  Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.  In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would  specific specialised industry standard measurement tools drone aerial imagery.  All rock chip samples have been submitted to Australian Laboratory Services Pty. Ltd. a NATA accredited laboratory for all rock chip sample analysis.  Analysis includes:  Au-AAS – Ore Grade Au 50g FA AA finish ME-MS61 – 48 element four acid ICP-MS  Analysis will include Lithium and Gold and associated pathfinder elements.  In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would  In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would |
|---|
| • • •   |
| • •   |
| • •   |
| •   |
| be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to observations of the rock chip specimens. However, coarse grained   |
| 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may mineralogy support the observation that these units are be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent pegmatites.   |
| (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed undertaken as these estimates do not constitute Lithium information.  |
| <ul> <li>Drilling techniques</li> <li>● Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary</li> <li>● No drilling has been undertaken as part this program air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Drill sample</b> • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample • No drilling has been undertaken as part this program.  |
| <ul> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential</li> </ul>  |



| Criteria         | JORC C | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary | ntary   |
|------------------|--------|--|------------|---|
|                  |        | loss/gain of fine/coarse material.   |            |   |
| Logging          | •      | Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and   | •          | All rock chip samples have been lithologically logged and   |
|                  |        | geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate  Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical |            | photographed to a level of detail considered appropriate to support reconnaissance geochemical assessment only. |
|                  |        | studies.   |            |   |
|                  | •      | Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or   |            |   |
|                  |        | costean, channel, etc) photography.  |            |   |
|                  | •      | The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections  |            |   |
|                  |        | logged.  |            |   |
| Sub-sampling     | •      | If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core   | •          | No sub sampling of rock chip samples has been undertaken as part  |
| techniques and   |        | taken.   |            | of this program.  |
| sample           | •      | If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and  |            |   |
| preparation      |        | whether sampled wet or dry.  |            |   |
|                  | •      | For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of   |            |   |
|                  |        | the sample preparation technique.  |            |   |
|                  | •      | Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to  |            |   |
|                  |        | maximise representivity of samples.  |            |   |
|                  | •      | Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of  |            |   |
|                  |        | the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for   |            |   |
|                  |        | field duplicate/second-half sampling.  |            |   |
|                  | •      | Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the  |            |   |
|                  |        | material being sampled.  |            |   |
| Quality of assay | •      | The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and  | •          | All rock chip samples have been submitted to Australian   |
| data and         |        | laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is  |            | Laboratory Services Pty. Ltd. a NATA accredited laboratory.   |
| laboratory tests |        | considered partial or total.   | •          | Certified Reference Material (CRM) standards are included in the  |
|                  | •      | For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments,  |            | quality control procedures for the program.   |
|                  |        | etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including   | •          | Standards, blanks, and internal laboratory checks have been   |
|                  |        | instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors   |            | included in the quality control procedures for the program.   |
|                  |        | applied and their derivation, etc.   |            |   |
|                  | •      | Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards,  |            |   |
|                  |        | blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether  |            |   |
|                  |        | acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have   |            |   |
|                  |        |  |            |   |

been established.



| Criteria                                | JORC C | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|---|--------|--|--|
| Verification of                         | •      | The verification of significant intersections by either independent  | <ul> <li>All rock chip sample locations, lithological logging details, and</li> </ul>  |
| sampling and                            |        | or alternative company personnel.                                    | analytical data have been checked and uploaded into a secure                           |
| assaying                                | •      | The use of twinned holes.  | database by a suitably qualified geologist.  |
|   | •      | Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data           |  |
|   |        | verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.      |  |
|   | •      | Discuss any adjustment to assay data.                                |  |
| Location of data                        | •      | Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar   | <ul> <li>Rock chip sample locations have been surveyed by handheld GPS</li> </ul>      |
| points                                  |        | and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other            | only, which is considered suitable for their intended purpose of                       |
|   |        | locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.                       | reconnaissance geochemical assessment only, and not for the                            |
|   | •      | Specification of the grid system used.                               | purpose of supporting Mineral Resource estimation.                                     |
|   | •      | Quality and adequacy of topographic control.                         | <ul> <li>Grid system used for rock chip sample locations is Map Grid of</li> </ul>     |
|   |        |  | Australia '94, Zone 51.  |
| Data spacing and                        | •      | Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.                   | <ul> <li>Rock chip sample location and density is considered suitable for</li> </ul>   |
| distribution                            | •      | Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish | their intended purpose of reconnaissance geochemical                                   |
|   |        | the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the    | assessment only, and not for the purpose of supporting Mineral                         |
|   |        | Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and         | Resource estimation.   |
|   |        | classifications applied.   | <ul> <li>No sample compositing has been completed as part of this</li> </ul>           |
|   | •      | Whether sample compositing has been applied.                         | program.   |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>Aerometric and radiometric data was derived from an aerial</li> </ul>         |
|   |        |  | survey using helicopter.   |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>The survey included magnetic, elevation and (256-channel)</li> </ul>          |
|   |        |  | radiometric survey methods.  |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>The output survey coordinates are based on the Geocentric</li> </ul>          |
|   |        |  | Datum of Australia 1994 (GDA94), zone 51.  |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>Magnetics were collected using a caesium vapour magnetometer</li> </ul>       |
|   |        |  | with a sample interval of 20 Hz representing approximately 2.1                         |
|   |        |  | metres ground resolution.  |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>Radiometrics gamma ray spectrometers were used for the</li> </ul>             |
|   |        |  | radiometrics, the sample interval was 1.0 seconds which                                |
|   |        |  | represents approximately 42 metres ground resolution.                                  |
|   |        |  | <ul> <li>Calculation of raw digital elevation data by subtracting the radar</li> </ul> |
|   |        |  | altimeter from the GPS altitude. The mesh size for data                                |
|   |        |  | interpolation was 5 x 5 meters.  |
| Orientation of data                     | •      | Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling       | <ul> <li>The orientation of rock chip sampling is not relevant as samples</li> </ul>   |
| :: ::   : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : |        |  |  |

of possible structures and the extent to which this is known,

were collected from surface outcrop or sub crop based on

in relation to



| Criteria          | JORC C | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary | ntary  |
|-------------------|--------|--|------------|--|
| geological        |        | considering the deposit type.                                    |            | geological mapping for the purpose of reconnaissance             |
| structure         | •      | If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the     |            | geochemical assessment only.                                     |
|                   |        | orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have  | •          | No drilling has been undertaken as part of this program.         |
|                   |        | introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported |            |  |
|                   |        | if material.   |            |  |
| Sample security   | •      | The measures taken to ensure sample security.                    | •          | All rock ship samples were securely collected and double bagged  |
|                   |        |  |            | in calico bags and then heavy-duty plastic bags.                 |
|                   |        |  | •          | Unique sample IDs were clearly marked on the calico bag and      |
|                   |        |  |            | supporting Chain of Custody documentation was submitted with     |
|                   |        |  |            | the sample batch to the selected laboratory.                     |
| Audits or reviews | •      | The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and  | •          | A review of analytical data will be completed upon receipt of    |
|                   |        | data.  |            | sample assay results prior to upload to secure Company database. |



# **SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS**

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria                | IORC Co | IORC Code explanation   | Commentary | phtary  |
|-------------------------|---------|---|------------|---|
| Mineral tenement        | •       | Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including   | •          | All tenure is 100% owned by Belararox Limited.                          |
| and land tenure         |         | agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint  | •          | All tenements are in good standing with no known impediments to         |
| status                  |         | ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental |            | obtaining a licence to operate.   |
|                         |         | settings.   |            |   |
|                         | •       | The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along  |            |   |
|                         |         | with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in   |            |   |
|                         |         | the area.   |            |   |
| <b>Exploration done</b> | •       | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.   | •          | Anaconda Mining Co. and Union Miniere Mining Co. (1966-1968)            |
| by other parties        |         |   | •          | Prospecting for nickel. Unknown prospecting methods.                    |
|                         |         |   | •          | Western Mining Corporation (1974-1982)                                  |
|                         |         |   | •          | Targeting gold and nickel mineralization – 150 RC drill holes north of  |
|                         |         |   |            | Phoenix (outside Belararox tenure).                                     |
|                         |         |   |            | Control magnetics not complise totans at black (BAB) and solvers        |
|                         |         |   |            | circulation (RC) drilling – discovery of Bacchus Gold deposit (outside  |
|                         |         |   |            | Belararox tenure).  |
|                         |         |   | •          | Central Kalgoorlie Mines NL and Ashton Mining (1989-1991)               |
|                         |         |   | •          | Took over joint venture. Exploration that led to the development of a   |
|                         |         |   |            | laterite gold resource.   |
|                         |         |   | •          | Samantha Gold NL (1992-1993)  |
|                         |         |   | •          | Identification of several aeromagnetic anomalies. Soil sampling,        |
|                         |         |   |            | RAB/RC drilling. Company became Resolute Mining.                        |
|                         |         |   | •          | Resolute Mining Ltd (1993)  |
|                         |         |   | •          | Systematic soil sampling on previously untested ground, RAB/RC          |
|                         |         |   |            | drilling. 175 RAB drillholes drilled at Endeavour on 100m line spacing, |
|                         |         |   |            | highlighting several gold anomalies which lead to discovery of Bacchus, |
|                         |         |   |            | Gibralter and Phoenix.  |
|                         |         |   | •          | Nexus Mining NL (1995-1998)   |
|                         |         |   | •          | Geological and structural mapping, soil geochemical sampling, Rab and   |
|                         |         |   |            | diamond drilling, resource modelling, metallurgical testwork and        |
|                         |         |   |            | Feasibility Study.  |
|                         |         |   |            | (2002)  |

Jervois Mining Ltd (2002)



| Criteria    | JORC Code explanation   | Commentary  |
|-------------|---|---|
|             |   | <ul> <li>Mining operations at Bullabulling.</li> </ul>                              |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Magnetic survey, soil sampling, RC drilling</li> </ul>                     |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Newcrest Mining Ltd JV with Fimiston Mining (1988-1993)</li> </ul>         |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Aerial photography, mapping, magnetics, soils, RAB, RC, diamond</li> </ul> |
|             |   | drilling. Defined Gecko laterite deposit.   |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Tern Minerals NL (1990-1993)</li> </ul>                                    |
|             |   | <ul> <li>RAB drilling.</li> </ul>   |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Maynard and Associates (2009-2010)</li> </ul>                              |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Auzex Resources Ltd (2011)</li> </ul>                                      |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Aerial radiometrics and magnetics survey</li> </ul>                        |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Mobile Metal Ion (MMI) soil sampling.</li> </ul>                           |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Golden Eagle Mining Ltd (2010-2017)</li> </ul>                             |
|             |   | <ul> <li>Aeromagnetic data interpretation, MMI,</li> </ul>                          |
| -           |   |   |
| Geology     | • Deposit type, geological setting and style of filliteralisation.  | fractionated granite body associated with pervasive post-gold                       |
|             |   | pegmatites and quartz veining, with most of the of regional Lithium                 |
|             |   | projects located within a structural corridor adjacent to the Bali                  |
|             |   | Monzogranite and similarly fractionated granitic pegmatite source                   |
|             |   | rocks to the south.   |
|             |   | <ul> <li>The pegmatites are associated with the mafic metamorphic rocks</li> </ul>  |
|             |   | adjacent to the Bali Monzogranite and are considered prospective for                |
|             |   | Lithium-Caesium-Tantalum (LCT) pegmatites, with additional gold                     |
|             |   | targets identified as sheeted quartz veins  |
| Drill hole  | <ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of</li> </ul>                         | <ul> <li>No drilling has been undertaken as part of this program</li> </ul>         |
| Information | the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: |   |
|             | <ul> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> </ul>   |   |
|             | <ul> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea</li> </ul>                                  |   |
|             | level in metres) of the drill hole collar   |   |
|             | <ul> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> </ul>   |   |
|             |   |   |

Page **12** of **14** 

hole length.

down hole length and interception depth



| Criteria           | JORC Code                                 | JORC Code explanation   | Comm | Commentary   |
|--------------------|---|---|------|--|
|                    | th  | n is not Material and this exclusion does not   |      |  |
|                    | de  | detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent                                     |      |  |
|                    | Pe  | Person should clearly explain why this is the case.   |      |  |
| Data aggregation   | •<br>                                     | In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques,                               | •    | No weighting or aggregation applies.                                   |
| methods            | 3   | maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high                                    |      |  |
|                    | gr<br>sta                                 | grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be<br>stated.                        |      |  |
|                    | •   | Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high                                    |      |  |
|                    | <del>8</del> 7                            | grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the                                      |      |  |
|                    | pr  | procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some                                   |      |  |
|                    | ty  | typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.                                |      |  |
|                    | •<br>규                                    | The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent                                      |      |  |
|                    | va  | values should be clearly stated.  |      |  |
| Relationship       | • Th                                      | These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of                              | •    | Rock chip sample location and density is considered suitable for their |
| between            | Œ,  | Exploration Results.  |      | intended purpose of reconnaissance geochemical assessment only,        |
| mineralisation     | •<br>==================================== | If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole                            |      | with no relationship between mineralisation width or intercept and     |
| widths and         | an  | angle is known, its nature should be reported.  |      | rock chip grade. Rock chip values represent a spot value of surface    |
| intercept lengths  | •   | If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported,                                 |      | samples only with no depth extent.                                     |
|                    | lei                                       | there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg. down hole length, true width not known'). |      |  |
| Diagrams           | • Ap                                      | Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of                                  | •    | Refer to Figures in main text  |
|                    | ij.                                       | intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being                               |      |  |
|                    | re  | reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view                                |      |  |
|                    | of  | of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.                                 |      |  |
| Balanced reporting | •   | Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not                                 | •    | All available exploration data is reported.                            |
|                    | pr  | practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades                               |      |  |
|                    | an  | and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting                                 |      |  |
|                    | of  | of Exploration Results.   |      |  |
| Other substantive  | • Ot                                      | Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be                                   | •    | All available exploration data is reported.                            |
| exploration data   | re  | reported including (but not limited to): geological observations;                               |      |  |
|                    | ge  | geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk                                    |      |  |
|                    | Sa  | samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test                                      |      |  |
|                    | re  | results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock                                       |      |  |
|                    | ch  | characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating   |      |  |
|                    | ns  | substances.   |      |  |



**JORC Code explanation** 

## Further work

- The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).
- Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.

## Commentary

- Further work comprises an appraisal of pegmatite rock chip assays, with results due in the coming weeks.
- Additional activities will include finalising of the priority of targets, based on the interpretation of aerial drone imagery / satellite imagery and remote sensing interpretation, with the geological observations completed during the geological mapping and the rock chip sample assay values from the pegmatite targets.